

Message

From: Fogarty, Johnpc [/O=EXCHANGELABS/OU=EXCHANGE ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP (FYDIBOHF23SPDLT)/CN=RECIPIENTS/CN=8546B387C687410D88EEEE387DADDF56-JFOGAR02]
Sent: 2/3/2017 5:00:45 PM
To: Shinkman, Susan [Shinkman.Susan@epa.gov]; Cozad, David [Cozad.David@epa.gov]
Subject: RE: Draft case summary for Whole Foods

groan

-----Original Message-----

From: Shinkman, Susan
Sent: Friday, February 03, 2017 11:53 AM
To: Cozad, David <Cozad.David@epa.gov>; Fogarty, Johnpc <Fogarty.Johnpc@epa.gov>
Subject: RE: Draft case summary for Whole Foods

"Whole" thing looks good to me.

-----Original Message-----

From: Cozad, David
Sent: Friday, February 03, 2017 11:27 AM
To: Fogarty, Johnpc <Fogarty.Johnpc@epa.gov>; Shinkman, Susan <Shinkman.Susan@epa.gov>
Subject: RE: Draft case summary for Whole Foods

How about "none known or anticipated, but other grocery chains may take notice"

On the SEP, I am anticipating a couple questions:

Ex. 5 Deliberative Process (DP)

-----Original Message-----

From: Fogarty, Johnpc
Sent: Friday, February 3, 2017 11:20 AM
To: Cozad, David <Cozad.David@epa.gov>; Shinkman, Susan <Shinkman.Susan@epa.gov>
Subject: RE: Draft case summary for Whole Foods

Nothing specific - just that since this is a company-wide settlement, others in this sector may take notice of it (not in a bad way, just as a development in their area/what a competitor is doing). We could also say "none known or anticipated" since it is somewhat speculative.

-----Original Message-----

From: Cozad, David
Sent: Friday, February 03, 2017 11:15 AM
To: Fogarty, Johnpc <Fogarty.Johnpc@epa.gov>; Shinkman, Susan <Shinkman.Susan@epa.gov>
Subject: RE: Draft case summary for Whole Foods

Looks good. My only question is on the sentence about the potential interest by other grocery chains. If there are specific concerns or issues we think they may have and raise, we ought to identify that here.

-----Original Message-----

From: Fogarty, Johnpc
Sent: Friday, February 3, 2017 10:59 AM
To: Shinkman, Susan <Shinkman.Susan@epa.gov>; Cozad, David <Cozad.David@epa.gov>
Subject: Draft case summary for whole Foods

I still need to run this past Laura W (the staffer on this case), but wanted to share now to see if you all think this hits the mark. As a 1-pager, it's at a "high level" so it tends to focus on the key message points and less so on the nitty-gritty of the settlement's terms. This may go too far in that direction, but I am mindful of how folks tend to try to cover every single issue in detail, even when it's supposed to be a "summary" only.